ISUAL ART	ck, Author & Ar		2 Cox				R A
ISOAL ANT	WRITING	FEATURES/RE	VIEWS ART	PRODUCTION/CURA	TING TA	_KS VIDEOS	RESUME
				has been co	-opted to	o Sell War	
Mid Atlantic Po	opular Culture Ass	ociation Almanack,	New York, Fall 200	8			
God, which be alternative is r	come hopelessly on the hopelessly of the hopelessly of the hopelessary, social large states and the hopelessary is the hopelessary of the hopeless	entangled with each	n other in the public ns that will break th	zens of its necessity is e square. To convince a hough the rational resist	nation that a gro	uesome and inequitab	le political
quest; as such experience of described with	, it is not much o a personal ego an in religious traditi	f a leap to find relig d separateness, tov ions by war termino	ious justification fo wards an appreciatio logy. Whether desc	ose religious systems ut r war itself. The mystica on of the unity of all be cribed as Jihad, the Crus o follow leaders into an	al path, which le ing and an inter ades, or a Divin	ads an aspirant beyon or experience of grace	nd the e, is often
politicians' spe	eches. After all, in , a powerful sales	f one is asking youn	ng men (and more	e that war and the religi recently, woman) to sac ucement can there be f	rifice their lives	to safeguard the right	ts of an
public – this de other since the followers on to foremost a pro within them vi	ynamic is hardly r e beginning of hur o religious cathars oblem of `sacred' t olent images of G	new, or confined to man time. Virtually is. Jack Nelson-Palli texts and not a prob od, people can sele	the secular world of all faiths utilize war meyer states in his plem of misinterpre ectively recall these	y intertwine God, love a f politics and power. Wa like language and ima book, <i>Is Religion Killing</i> tation of those texts." S texts and extract from d and War: An Audit and	r and the mystic gery to describe <i>Us</i> : "Religioush ince virtually all them divine sup	c's way have been cor matters of the spirit, y justified violence is f major religions have port for war, creating	nfused with ea and exhort first and embedded
the past, is ou religious obser strong to drive	r contemporary w vance are deeply	vorld's third largest s intertwined. In the ers, may your arms	sacred path, boasti Rig Veda, a 3500 y	ost important religions. ng nearly one billion ad vear-old Hindu text, we h to check foes, let you	herents. For Hin find this statem	du practitioners, war ent (1-39:2): "May yc	imagery and our weapons b
morality play s	set on the field of	battle. The duty to	destroy his own kin	nity, goes even further Isman and teachers is A relationship between de	rjuna, the main	character's, task. The	
-	I fail to wage this	war					
of sacr you wi	ed duty, Il abandon your o	wn duty					
of sacr you wi and fa If you	ed duty, Il abandon your o me only to gain e are killed, you wi	wn duty vil. n heaven;					
of sacr you wi and fa If you if you therefo	ed duty, Il abandon your o me only to gain e are killed, you wi triumph, you enjo ore, Arjuna, stand	wn duty vil. n heaven; by the earth; l up					
of sacr you wi and fa If you if you therefo and re This intertwini of worldly exis subtitled, "Kris	red duty, Il abandon your o me only to gain e are killed, you wi triumph, you enjo ore, Arjuna, stand solve to fight the ng of war and reli tence. (Bhagavad	wn duty vil. n heaven; by the earth; l up battle!" (Bhagavad- gious necessity dran l-Gita, pg. 1) Unders a time of war," and i	matizes the Hindu scoring the manne	deal that one must here r in which war and the s nuch larger war epic, th	piritual path are	intertwined, this relig	gious tract is
of sacr you wi and fa If you if you therefo and re This intertwini of worldly exis subtitled, "Kris confrontation to Hardly confine Christianity an	red duty, II abandon your o me only to gain e are killed, you wi triumph, you enjo ore, Arjuna, stand solve to fight the ng of war and reli tence. (Bhagavad shna's counsel in a that took place ar d to Eastern relig d Islam, has man	wn duty vil. n heaven; by the earth; l up battle!" (Bhagavad- gious necessity dran l-Gita, pg. 1) Unders a time of war," and i ound 3100 B.CE. ions, war imagery is by instances of injun	matizes the Hindu scoring the manner is drawn from the r s found at the core actions from God to	in which war and the s	piritual path are e Mahabharata, faiths. The Jewi in His name. Fo	s intertwined, this relig which traces a legend sh Torah, precursor of	gious tract is Jary f both
of sacr you wi and fa If you if you therefo and re This intertwini of worldly exis subtitled, "Kris confrontation t Hardly confine Christianity an Deuteronomy "When you	red duty, II abandon your o me only to gain e are killed, you wi triumph, you enjo ore, Arjuna, stand solve to fight the ng of war and reli tence. (Bhagavad shna's counsel in a that took place ar d to Eastern relig d Islam, has man (7:1-2) couldn't b the Lord your Go . and when the L	wn duty vil. n heaven; by the earth; l up battle!" (Bhagavad- gious necessity dran l-Gita, pg. 1) Unders a time of war," and i ound 3100 B.CE. ions, war imagery is by instances of injun be more explicit abo	matizes the Hindu scoring the manner is drawn from the r s found at the core actions from God to but the religious obl ne land which you a them over to you,	r in which war and the s nuch larger war epic, th of the three Abrahamic smite Israel's enemies	piritual path are e Mahabharata, faiths. The Jewi in His name. For pelievers:	intertwined, this relig which traces a legend sh Torah, precursor of r instance, this passag	gious tract is dary f both ge in ations before

disentangle religion and human destruction, turned definitively towards the Old Testament view of God and war at the fourth century Council of Nicaea (325 CE) *i*, when the Roman Emperor Constantine codified Christian practice, and brought it under the umbrella of the state. This intertwining of God and politics quickly led Christianity towards the same bloody language and imagery as other world religions. Less than

100 years after the Council of Nicaea, St. Augustine (d. 430) was parsing war into acceptable and unacceptable instances – a far cry from Christ's maxim to "turn the other cheek." St. Augustine stated: "Even those who want war want nothing more than to achieve victory; by warring, therefore, they desire to attain a glorious peace . . . Consequently, the desired end of war is peace." (The Ethics of War, pg. 78-79) Augustine even went so far as to justify the infantryman's following of illegal orders, stating:

"A just man, if he should happen to serve as a soldier under a human king who is sacrilegious, could rightly wage war at the king's command, maintaining the order of civic peace, for what he is commanded to do is not contrary to the sure precepts of God." (The Ethics of War, pg. 82)

Linkages between Augustine's "Just War Theory" and contemporary Christian thought are not hard to uncover. This justification was debated in opinion pages during the run-up to the Irag War (2002-2003), with all manner of judgments being issued by American pundits on whether this campaign was "justified" according to the Christian saint, or not. And in an article entitled, "God is Pro War" (2004), published under the moniker of Christian leader Jerry Falwell, it was stated:

"Just as there are numerous references to peace in the Bible, there are frequent references to God-ordained war. Many present day pacifists hold Jesus as their example of unvarying peace. But they ignore the full revelation concerning Jesus pictured in the book of Revelation 19, where He is depicted bearing a 'sharp sword' and smiting nations, ruling them with a 'rod of iron.'... One of the primary purposes of the church is to stop the spread of evil, even at the cost of human lives. If we do not stop the spread of evil, many innocent

lives will be lost and the kingdom of God suffers." (WorldNetDaily, 1/31/04)

Christianity's ensuing history of bringing war and God together followed Augustine's lead, sharing with humanity both the Crusades (1095-1272) and the Inquisition (c. 1184-1834), whose echo can be found in the United States' recent sanction of torture as a legal foreign policy instrument. A Pew Research Center poll taken in 2005 revealed that those who self-identified as Christian were more likely to approve torture as sometimes or always justified in fighting the "War on Terror," than those who identified as "secular." (National Catholic Reporter, 3/24/06) ii

Islam, following its religious cousins, wove enough war imagery into its sacred texts to provide plenty of justification for those inclined to resort to bellicosity in the God's name. In Islam, we read of lesser and greater Jihad – lesser Jihad being the battle for God within the physical world (often taking the form of a declared war against "infidels") in holy war, while greater Jihad represents the interior struggle that it takes to achieve a true God consciousness.

"For some Muslims, jihad is the sixth pillar of Islam. It is a duty that all Muslims must carryout. The controversy is how it is to be carried out, which depends on one's interpretation. Some fulfill 'lesser' jihad in the militant aspect. In the militant aspect, one must be prepared at all times to defend Muslims, particularly by armed struggle." (Jihad, Amy Pender)

The conception of Lesser Jihad hardly emerged out of thin air; it can be traced back to the Muslim holy book itself:

"The Koran does appear to command evangelical war – that is, war to convert non-Muslims to the faith. The text often cited from the Koran is: 'Fight against those who do not believe in Allah or the last day – until they pay the jizya (Jizya is a tax paid to indicate submission to the dominance of Islam) from their own hand." (God and War: An Audit and an Exploration, pg. 11)

It is also important to note that the Prophet Muhammad was himself a warrior, and commanded forces in battle on nearly 40 separate occasions. Hundreds upon hundreds of people were killed during his campaigns, and the wars of Islamic domination that he spawned killed hundreds of thousands over the next several centuries, throughout Arabia, the Middle East, North Africa and southern Europe. The Muslim military policy was to kill all those who resisted Islamic domination. (God and War: An Audit and an Exploration, pg. 21)

Given this predilection for wrapping war and religion together, the marketing of armed conflict today in religious and even mystical terminology is not surprising. The current collection of state and religious leaders are simply building onto an age-old habit of equating humanity's highest drives and basest desires, wrapping mass military campaigns and God together into a terrifying and unbreakable clench.

One central reason that contemporary leaders have such an easy time in representing war as religiously sanctioned and, in many cases, even a spiritual obligation, is because of this extensive history of uniting physical war and the spiritual path within the sacred teachings of virtually all creeds. Though much of the religious language was undoubtedly meant as metaphor, the human mind runs towards the literal, leaving reams of imagery and injunctions for state leaders to utilize when discussing military campaigns within the secular culture, and influencing the minds of potential warriors. "Again and again, in churches, temples, mosques, meeting houses, synagogues and homes, the violence-of-God traditions are passed down through generations, molding our individual and collective psyche." (God and War: An Audit and an Exploration, pg. 5)

The tendency to bring God and war together allows our politicians and keepers of the social status quo to "market" the institution of war to potential participants as the ultimate manner of becoming greater than oneself, and reaching a new, mystical consciousness. War represents the glory of the spiritual path, solely for the price of signing up and going along.

Most difficult for un-indoctrinated to understand, perhaps, and most disturbing when thinking about how to stem the horrific tide of worldwide wars, is that so much of the actual practice of war does mimic the mystic's quest. As war correspondent Christopher Hedges noted:

"War makes the world understandable, a black and white tableau of them and us. It suspends thought, especially self-critical thought. All bow before the supreme effort. We are one. Most of us willingly accept war, as long as we can fold it into a belief system that paints the ensuing suffering as necessary for a higher good, for human beings seek not only happiness, but also meaning. And tragically, war is sometimes the most powerful way in human society to achieve meaning." (Amnesty International NOW, Winter 2002)

This is how it so captivates the population: War gives humans the illusion that they are fighting for a power greater than the self, which "makes the world understandable." Before this power, "all bow and become one." These exact words could easily be applied to the mystical path towards divine realization, which leads an aspirant to an appreciation of and respect for the God-nature hidden in everything, both living and inanimate. However, the issue tips when we look at just how war accesses meaning – it does so by bypassing the rational thought process and accessing the animal aspects of the human psyche.

Where war bleeds irrevocably into the lower strata of human nature is represented in the statement that "war suspends self-critical thought." (Christopher Hedges; see above) After all, self-critical thought is the only thing that truly separates us from animals – without it, we are little more than dexterous rats, killing machines with ever more efficient tools of destruction. As Thomas Merton pointed out, "Man is the only species besides the rat, that wantonly and cruelly turns on his own kind in unprovoked and murderous hostility. Man is the only one who deliberately seeks to destroy his own kind." (On Peace, pg. 196-197) Here is the horror of the lowest aspect of the human spirit: mistaking the emotional catharsis provided by mass destruction for spiritual realization!

It is this disconnect between self-critical thought and action that allows for humans to entertain the political "solution" of war. Virtually all mystics from all major systems propose that the path to God leads directly through the self. Confucius said: "Attack the evil that is within yourself; do not attack the evil that is within others." (The Analects of Confucius, pg. 169) The Prophet Muhammad stated that the first stage of worship is silence. The Jewish Kabbalists believed that humans are literally the microcosm of God – and that the surest way to learn about God is to learn about oneself. As the 13th century Kabbalistic text, Sha'are Emunah stated: "Blessed is he or she who knows that within and above are synonymous." (Greatest Jewish Stories, pg. 230)

But the average person hardly takes the time to apply these spiritual maxims; even less so the political leader. Today, it is not difficult to discern the age-old dynamic of bypassing the most important aspect of true mystical realization – self-critical thought – and offering war as the quickest way to a spurious holy catharsis. In our current social and political milieu, we can easily discern how the history of fusing God with war continues to persuade the most recent generation of child warriors into believing that patriotism and the love of God are one and the same thing.

As was recently noted in Newsweek Magazine, in an article entitled, "God, War and the Presidency:"

"In America, God and war have a particular kinship: presidents in time of conflict invoke the Lord's name as a way to rally the people . . . Evoking God in the midst of mass killing is inspirational . . . Divine sanction has been used to give . . . license (to) genocide. The impulse to blend God and war owes much to the American temperament: Americans have always feared one (today, nine out of 10 call themselves believers) and loved the other (the United States has fought in dozens of armed conflicts in the nation's two-and-a-third centuries). Not a few old warriors have admitted to thrilling to the words of 'Onward, Christian Soldiers." (Newsweek Magazine, 5/7/07)

And as war does offer so much of God to humans, through its sense of obligation, sacrifice and shared purpose – and the struggle it presents is so much more basic ("a black and white tableau" – Christopher Hedges, see above) and psychologically less challenging than the true search for spirituality – a majority in the society turn to it with an almost relieved sigh. It is far simpler to be part of this something greater (war), than to start out on the arduous path of true spiritual realization, a terrifying pursuit with virtually no visible rewards. There are no "Purple Hearts" awarded to the quiet and realized mystic; no long, fawning, posthumous articles in the newspapers are written about heroism, sacrifice and sublime love for those who yearn towards a profound humility.

Politicians, the media and even mainstream entertainers do everything in their power to play up the similarities between the religious path and war, all for the poorly obscured purpose of using human pawns to protect their own earthly power, or just simply make a buck. Perhaps, to some extent, they might even believe their own words; and, if they themselves have fought in a war and come out more or less whole, they will be forced to trust in the lie of a mystical war, if only to help justify the horrors they themselves witnessed and perpetrated.

We need only examine the words of a man considered an American hero, Senator John McCain (R-AZ), to understand how war language does explicitly borrow from the religious and even mystical lexicon, as he eulogized a soldier fallen in Afghanistan:

"He loved his country, and the values that make us exceptional among nations, and good ... Love and honor oblige us. We are obliged to value our blessings, and to pay our debts to those who sacrificed to secure them for us. They are blood debts . . . The loss of every fallen soldier should hurt us lest we ever forget the terrible costs of war, and the sublime love of those who sacrifice everything on our behalf." (Eulogy for Pat Tillman, Senator John McCain, 5/3/04)

One can easily find similar sentiments among history's greatest mystics, which helps explain how this latter day politician can convince his audience by talking about war in such a manner. Meister Eckhart, the 13th century Christian thinker stated: "True and perfect obedience is a virtue above all virtues." (Approaches to Ethics, pg. 153) The 20th century mystic Simone Weil stated: "Where there is a need, there is an obligation." (Waiting for God, pg. 99) To the cynical, ignorant or simply uncaring, it is an easy step to apply these spiritual precepts to the lowest of human actions, war.

President George W. Bush, hardly one to shy away from equating his own motivations with those of God, utilized this same religious/war conflation to advance his political agenda. An "analysis of the speeches of President George W. Bush, especially those commenting on the war on terrorism, show that many are laced with religious language and imagery. For example, terrorists have been repeatedly referred to as 'evildoers." (God and War: An Audit and an Exploration, pg. 29) Hardly shocking, as his word usage simply mimics terminology utilized by religious texts from the Rig Veda to the Torah, and then systematized by St. Augustine.

President Bush's reference to "evildoers" is not difficult to understand, when we consider what Christian religious leaders have been saying about Islam. "The Reverend Franklin Graham, Reverend Billy Graham's son and successor, has repeatedly called Islam an 'evil' religion. Christian Coalition founder Pat Robertson described Muhammad as an 'absolute wild-eyed fanatic . . . a robber and a brigand . . . a killer.' The Rev. Jerry Vines, former president of the Southern Baptist Convention, called Muhammad a 'demon possessed pedophile.''' (Washington Post, 10/15/02)

This kind of absurd, hateful language by religious leaders is hardly constrained to Christians. Osama bin Laden, who most in the Western World would argue "started" the whole thing in the first place with his various attacks on American interests, culminating in the events of 9/11/2001, stated in 1998 that "it is the duty of the Muslims to prepare as much force as possible to terrorize the enemies of God." (God and War: An Audit and Exploration, pg. 27) After the successful attack on the World Trade Towers, he averred:

"Almighty hit the United States at its most vulnerable point . . . I swear by Almighty God . . . that neither the United States or he who lives in the United States will enjoy security before . . . all the infidel armies leave the land of Muhammad." (God and War: An Audit and Exploration, pg. 28)

Clearly, both sides have reasons for bringing God and war together to promote their own interests. However, it is striking how the United States government was willing to utilize religious rhetoric in promoting its bellicose agenda:

"The president outlined a far-reaching moral-mission for his presidency: 'I will seize the opportunity to achieve big goals – there is nothing bigger than to achieve world peace. We're never going to get people all in agreement about the use of force, but action – confident action that will yield positive results – provides kind of a slipstream into which reluctant nations and leaders can get behind and show themselves that there has been – you know, something positive has happened towards peace." (Washington Post, 11/16/02 & 11/19/02)

War is peace; destruction is morality. Religious language is but another hammer in the toolbox of power, the easiest manner of selling the public on a potentially unpopular political "program." Most distressingly, this language is simply building on religious language of almost 1500 years ago, when St. Augustine averred that the ultimate goal of war was peace, and if the war was "justified," than perhaps it was the single most expedient course towards "peace."

Once the highest temporal leaders have conflated God, love and war, it is easy, and perhaps necessary, for their inferiors to follow. To craft his God of war imagery, President George Bush had much help. The main speechwriter throughout his first term in office, Michael Gerson (who currently writes opinion pieces for the Washington Post), was, during his time writing speeches leading up to the American invasion of Iraq:

"An evangelical Episcopalian who said he is reading a biography of the Apostle Paul for 'escape,' (and who) shared Bush's willingness to talk publicly about the centrality of the Christian faith to his life. The result was a president whose public words were laced with Biblical undertones . . . 'We have tried to employ religious language in a way that unites people,' (Gerson) said. 'Martin Luther King Jr. did it all the time during the Civil Rights movement. He was in this tradition, going all the way back to Old Testament prophets, that says God is active in history and, eventually, on the side of justice." (Washington Post, 10/11/07)

From the President's inner circle, the ripples of bringing together contemporary politics, God and religious history spread out. George Weigel, a staunch supporter of George W. Bush and a biographer of Pope John Paul II, has been a strong proponent of the "Just War Theory" in conjunction with the ongoing Iraq campaign. Going beyond simply asserting that this conflict met all of the traditional criteria for a "Just War," he argued that, "classic (religious) doctrine treated war not as a moral anomaly that had to run a gauntlet of moral tests before it could be justified, but as a moral category, a neutral instrument of statecraft that could be used for good or ill." (New York Times, 4/14,07)

Fluid moral thinking has infected virtually all followers of this president, as they attempt to wrap God, Jesus and the military together into a 21st century Holy War. This notice seeped out in the alternative press:

"A major defense contractor for the military and Homeland Security claimed that the United States is morally obliged to maintain a permanent presence in Iraq for the sake of God. 'If we stay and rebuild Iraq, we will demonstrate to the world that we remain the best force for good in the world,' Charles Patricoff, Sr. Contract Manager for Ball Aerospace & Technologies Corp, said. 'More importantly, we as Christians can better influence that region for the Kingdom of God." (<u>http://pressesc.com</u>, 8/18/2007)

In another instance, Lt. General William Boykin put on his dress greens and spoke before an American Christian group, following some of our country's most important religious leaders (see above) in attacking Muslims as "idolaters" and "forces of Satan." He also said that when he found himself in a battle against a Muslim warlord in Somalia, he knew he would vanquish his enemy because, "Well you know what I knew, that my God was bigger than his. I knew that my God was a real God, and his was an idol." (BBC News, UK Edition, 10/17/03)

Even at the level of popular culture and entertainment, we needn't dig too deeply to see the way in which these myths are perpetrated and disseminated. Recently, an article about the college football coach (and one-time professional National Football League coach), Jerry Glanville, began with this lead:

"Jerry Glanville can pinpoint the instant his life changed forever. In March 2004, on a morale-building trip to Iraq and Kuwait set up by the NFL, he was standing in the last latrine along the Highway of Death when a sentence scribbled on the wall hit him right between the eyes: 'I'd rather live a day with the lions than a thousand years with the lambs, the American Soldier.' 'I thought, "Wow, you've got to coach these kids," says Glanville. 'They don't question the mission, just "Let's get it done." (USA Today Newspaper, 8/30/07)

Here, we see echoes of St. Augustine, who averred that "a just man, if he should happen to serve as a soldier under a human king who is sacrilegious, could rightly wage war at the king's command, (for following orders) is not contrary to the sure precepts of God." (The Ethics of War, pg. 82) One needn't tweak St. Augustine's teachings too much to see how sports, religion, unquestioning fealty to secular goals and war are all wrapped together and presented as heroic.

This religious and even mythic presentation of war persuades the general population to bypass the intent of their religious teachings, concentrating instead on its sometimes-grisly content. The public is asked – using an argument based in images that they know from their religious past – to disregard that which makes them most human (their self-critical thought), and either give in to the powerful, and spurious spiritual catharsis they offer – which is represented as doing God's will – or become (supposedly) separated from their own "higher" spirit.

For those that waver, the dead soldier is held out as incontrovertible proof of the necessity and worth of the war. After all, how could one "force" the soldier to have died in vain, by questioning the worth of his action? The war becomes worthwhile because someone has died undertaking it, a reversal of the normal assignation of worth, which defines an action's merit before the risk is actually taken. In a horrifying example of the "sunk costs" theory, the more people that die for a cause, however mistaken, the more valuable the action, no matter what the true human or economic price really is.

Through the sacrifice of human souls for political ends, the sublime nature of war becomes enmeshed with a true God-experience. The very real horrors of war are euphemistically referred to in the language of mysticism: "sublime love," "obligation," "good causes," "moral purpose, "save the innocent," "peace" and "sacrifice." The "fog" of war begins in the language of the powerful, and then overtakes the thought processes of the general population.

Given the historic intertwining of the mystic's path and war imagery, the easy manner in which humans turn to bellicosity, and the long history of

equating heroism and the field of battle, we must question whether war is, in fact, entirely natural to the human condition. Are those who find war abhorrent little more than delicate and hopeless dreamers, beating their impotent fists into their breasts while the massive machinery of war grinds on through the centuries? Can anything be done to stem the red tide of death spouting from ever-more horrifying war machines, often in the name of God?

Until religion and war are disentangled, there can be but little hope. As has been shown, this dynamic is not confined to a single time period, or just one of the world's religions. It appears across all time periods and in virtually all-religious institutions. It seems to be endemic to the human condition.

With political and religious leaders from George W. Bush to Osama bin Laden; from the Jewish God of the Torah to Arjuna's spiritual leader in the Bhagavad-Gita all tightly interlacing God and war, spirituality and destruction, we must consider with dread the words of the 20th American historian and social critic, Arthur Schlesinger, Jr., who said:

"There is no one more dangerous than someone who is absolutely certain that they are doing the will of God." (National Public Radio, 9/29/04)

If political leaders from all geographic areas and religious backgrounds are thusly convinced, where does that leave the rest of us?

Prophetic Activist Art: Art Activism Beyond Oppositionality, International Journal of the Arts in Society, Volume 3, Issue 2, Victoria, Australia, Fall 2008

## NOTES

i "The Council of Nicea took place in 325 A.D. by the order of the Roman Emperor Caesar Flavius Constantine. Emperor Constantine presided over a group of Church bishops and leaders with the purpose of defining the true God for all of Christianity and to eliminate all the confusion, controversy, and contention within Christ's church. Constantine, a converted Christian (debatably), called for a council meeting to be held in Nicea with the bishops of the Christian church to resolve escalating quarrels and controversy mounting to a bitter degree of disunity amongst the church leadership and congregates concerning theological issues. The failing Roman Empire, now under Constantine's rule, could not withstand the division caused by years of hard-fought arguing over doctrinal differences. He saw it not only as a threat to Christianity but as a threat to society as well." (http://www.gotquestions.org/council-of-Nicea.html)

ii According to the poll, the numbers of people of each group that sometimes or always approved of the use of torture as a legitimate tool for gaining information were 56% of Catholics, 49% White Protestant, 49% White Evangelical and 34% Secular. Add in people that think torture is "rarely" justified, and you have two out of three Americans justifying torture under certain circumstances. "Americans, Especially Catholics Approve Torture," National Catholic Reporter, 3/24/06

## **Bibliography**

Austin, Greg, Todd Kranock and Thom Oommen, God and War: An Audit and an Exploration, Department of Peace Studies, Bradford, England, 2003

Confucius (Arthur Waley, trans.), *The Analects*, HarperCollins Publishers, NY, 1992

W.T. Jones, Frederick Sontag, Morton O. Beckner, Robert J. Fogelin (eds.), *Approaches to Ethics*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, NY, 1977

Merton, Thomas, On Peace, McCall Publishing Company, NY, 1971

Patterson, David, Greatest Jewish Stories, Jonathan David Publishers, New York, NY, 2001

Reichberg, Gregory, Syse, Henrik, Begby, Endre (Eds.), *The Ethics of War*, Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, England, 2006

Stoler Miller, Barbara (trans.), The Bhagavad Gita, Bantam Books, NY, 1986.

Tolstoy, Leo, On Civil Disobedience and Non-Violence, New American Library, NY, 1968

Weil, Simone, Waiting for God, Harper & Row Publishers, NY, 1973

## Periodicals and other sources:

www.ccds.charlotte.nc.us/History/MidEast/05/pender/index.html

www.gotquestions.org/council-of-Nicea.html

Amnesty International NOW

BBC News

National Catholic Reporter

National Public Radio

Newsweek Magazine

New York Times

Pressesc.com

USA Today

Washington Post

WorldNetDaily

VISUAL ART FEATURES/REVIEWS ART PRODUCTION/CURATING TALKS VIDEOS RESUME WRITING

All images on this site are copyright ©2011 by Tom Block Arts. Please contact the artist for use of these images.