34 justice





← What Unity Should Mean

Jonathan Chait's False Equivalence

BY GUEST AUTHORS | AUGUST 1, 2016 · 6:00 AM

↓ Jump to Comments

Truth or Politics

Tom Block is an author, artist, and activist whose most recent book, *Machiavelli in America*, explores the influence of the 16th-century Italian political philosopher on America's politics. Machiavelli's impact is particularly apparent today, as Block explains in the post below. Block (who you can follow on Twitter at @tomblocko6 and learn more about at www.tomblock.com) is also the founder of the Institute of Prophetic Activist Art in New York, where he works with artists and activists in building their social interventions along the lines of the activist theory he developed.



One of the greatest dangers to our democracy is the insignificant role that "truth" plays within our political discourse. The 16th-century Florentine political philosopher Niccolo Machiavelli first enshrined the importance of lying within the political realm in his seminal treatise *The* Prince. As Diana Schaub (professor of political science at Loyola University Maryland) noted in "Machiavelli's Realism:" "Machiavelli deployed words as a weapon . . . Machiavelli, the supposed champion of the force of arms, was in fact a practitioner of verbal fraud and

distortion." Far from fading away over the ensuing centuries, Machiavelli's strategy has expanded to overwhelm our contemporary political discourse. And this dynamic, nurtured by the media and exploited by politicians, has helped lead in a direct line from the Florentine thinker to the viable

presidential candidacy of Donald J. Trump.

Publisher and Founding Father Ben Franklin stated: "It is a principle among printers that when truth has fair play, it will always prevail over falsehood." But as Jim Rutenberg noted recently in the New York Times, "Mr. Trump's surrogates...regularly go on television to push the point of the day from a candidate who . . . has asserted more outright falsehoods than all the other candidates who ran for president this year combined."

While truth may well overmatch falsehoods in a forum where each has equal play, as Rutenberg notes, that is simply not the case currently in our political discourse. Truth is rarely utilized as the lodestar for public dialogue. Our journalists and pundits opt instead for simply repeating outright lies, reporting them as "news," or – in the best of cases – for a dubious objectivity, often representing little more than a midpoint between two opposing political or social opinions, regardless of these opinions' relationship to the truth. As was pointed out this July 18, 2016 in the New York Times, the press bears much responsibility for the unimportance of truth to our political discourse, and therefore the rise of Trump:

There's still a real chance that [Trump] might win. How is that possible? Part of the answer, I'd argue, is that voters don't fully appreciate his awfulness. And the reason is that too much of the news media still can't break with "bothsidesism" — the almost pathological determination to portray politicians and their programs as being equally good or equally bad, no matter how ludicrous that pretense becomes.

Ben Franklin must be spinning in his eternal resting place (Christ Church Burial Ground, Philadelphia).

The sad and frightening fact is that what is perceived by the public as "truth" often represents little more than a stew of popularly held (though often misinformed) attitudes. These arise as a reaction to polling data, Super PAC-fueled propaganda (the \$3 billion in dark money sloshing around this election season), surrogates' meaningless blather on cable news programs, a narrow reading of history ("remember the good old days!"), the weight of tradition, and a basket of other impressions, none of which are forced by the press to relate in any meaningful way with the actuality of the matter.

It is certainly not difficult to see how politicians gleefully exploit this Machiavellian dynamic to "play" the media, spewing any garbage they think will help their cause, while suffering little (if at all) when their mistruth is uncovered. Since I started paying genuine attention to this gloomy aspect of American democracy, I have been astounded by the bald-faced lies used to win political elections, running from Lee Atwater's <u>"Willie Horton" ads</u> (Bush I v. Mike Dukakis in 1988) through Karl Rove's "Swift Boat Veterans for Truth" <u>lies</u> (Bush II v. John Kerry) and continuing through Mitt Romney, whose team falsely claimed, among many examples, that President Obama doubled the deficit (it was actually slightly down in his first four years) and that "up to 20 million people [would] lose their insurance as Obamacare [went] into effect" (almost the opposite of what's actually happened).

Listen to Run It Black



Run It Black Podcast - The Curio... Run It Black Podcast - The Buck.. Privacy policy



and **Ben Spielberg**

All Episodes

- Episode 01: The Road to Factville
- Episode 02: Why We Need to **Block Block Grants**
- Episode 03: Assessing the Labor Market and Getting to Full Employment
- Episode 04: What Does the Labor **Department Do, Anyway?**
- Episode 05: Health Care, the Economy, and Republicans' **Terrible Obamacare Replacement** Plan
- Episode 06: Trade and Trump
- Episode 07: The Many Cases for **Inclusive Immigration Policy**
- Episode 08: The Raise the Wage Act of 2017
- Episode 09: Productivity Merry-Go-Round
- Episode 10: Unpacking the Trump Budget
- Episode 11: What's the Fed Up To? Episode 12: Reducing Inequality
- at the Top • Episode 13: The Long-Run
- Benefits of Income Support Episode 14: Walking and Chewing
- (Sugarless) Gum on Health Care • Episode 15: Guaranteeing
- Everyone a Job • Episode 16: We Demand Real Tax
- **Reform!** • Episode 17: Ben's Exit Interview


Enter your email address to follow this blog.

Email Address

Subscribe to 34justice

Topics

- Food
- Foreign Policy
- Gender Issues
- Health Care and Medicine
- Labor LGBTQ Issues
- Media
- Pandemic
- Philosophy
- Poverty and the Justice System
- Race and Religion Residency
- Sports
- Tributes
- US Political System



- **Public Health Threat**
- How Accusations of
- "Negativity" and
- 2016 Election 2018 Elections
- 2020 Election
 - Business
 - Coronavirus
 - Education
 - Environment

Donald J. Trump has raised the bar of political falsehoods that perhaps all of us thought could go no higher. None have exploited the media's obsession with objectivity and false equivalency more successfully than the current Republican standard bearer. His ability to lie, lie, and lie again, and not be called out once and for all (through references in every single citation as "Lyin' Donald J. Trump," for instance) allowed him to vault over 16 Republican candidates and stand at the precipice of taking the reins of the most powerful nation in today's world.

As Machiavelli stated: "The great majority of humans are satisfied with appearances, as though they were realities, and are often more influenced by the things that seem than by those that are." The art of the lie is far more important to the leader than learning how to tell the truth.

Donald Trump follows Machiavelli's dictum with the same passion that the devoted practice their religions. An astounding 70% of Donald Trump's statements are mostly or completely false, <u>according to</u> *PolitiFact*, while only 15% are mostly or entirely true. And though now, finally, there is a growing chorus of media members gingerly stepping in to call a lie a lie, they also dutifully repeat the lie over and over again before refuting it. Through this repetition, the lie itself becomes embedded in the public consciousness, thus giving the many and absurdist propositions spewed from the latest Republican candidate for President a patina of reality. Journalists should *lead* every article about Trump with this fact: he is, as Bernie Sanders averred, a pathological liar. But unfortunately, despite the few truth-based journalists writing in alternative outlets like The Intercept or in the back pages of the Washington Post or New York Times, the media is central to the problem.

Journalists too often imagine their obligation to be simply reporting the "news" (whatever any partisan actor tells them), remaining indifferent to whether the statements have any relation to reality or truth. In the journalistic code of ethics, this impartiality represents the highest code of honor. As Sharon Bader noted in "The Media: Objectivity:"

Objectivity in journalism has nothing to do with seeking out the truth, except in so much as truth is a matter of accurately reporting what others have said. This contrasts with the concept of scientific objectivity where views are supposed to be verified with empirical evidence in a search for the truth. Ironically, journalistic objectivity discourages a search for evidence; the balancing of opinions often replaces journalistic investigation altogether.

Journalists such as Thom Hartmann, Glenn Greenwald, Rania Khalek, and other lesser known (to your average American, at least) writers do point out this problem, though they are always in a very slim minority. We find little succor in the mainstream media. Even such alleged "truth tellers" as the website **PolitiFact**, the Washington Post's soothsayer Glenn Kessler, and Nobel Laureate Paul Krugman (now a New York Times columnist) have a dubious relationship with the facts. As the editor of this blog has shown, all three of these sources have ignored evidence and/or gotten storylines completely wrong during this election cycle.

Without a genuine <u>moral ombudsman</u> to separate fact from fiction in our public square, all opinions – true or not – are simply viewed as offering differing "points of view." Katrina vanden Heuvel (publisher and part-owner of the magazine *The Nation*) noted in the Washington Post:

For too many journalists, calling out a Republican for lying requires criticizing a Democrat too, making for a media age where false equivalence — what Eric Alterman has called the mainstream media's "deepest ideological commitment" — is confused, again and again, with objectivity.

This quote comes from the last election (Romney v. Obama, 2012), though the situation has not gotten better, and perhaps has even worsened. As was noted in the aforementioned July 18, 2016 article from Krugman in the New York Times:

And in the last few days we've seen a spectacular demonstration of bothsidesism in action: an op-ed article from the incoming and outgoing heads of the White House Correspondents' Association, with the headline "Trump, Clinton both threaten free press." How so? Well, Mr. Trump has selectively banned news organizations he considers hostile; he has also ... attacked both those organizations and individual reporters, and refused to condemn supporters who, for example, have harassed reporters with anti-Semitic insults.

Meanwhile, while Mrs. Clinton hasn't done any of these things, and has a staff that readily responds to fact-checking questions, she doesn't like to hold press conferences. Equivalence!

Perhaps more frightening than these simple facts is that we're not talking about a subterranean conspiracy of which only a privileged few are aware. This dynamic is embedded in the journalistic canon. Krugman has said, for example, that his editors at the New York Times did not allow him to use the word "lying" back in 2000 when debunking the George W. Bush campaign's claims about tax cuts Bush proposed. And in an editorial by the Los Angeles Times calling the 2004 Swift Boat Veterans for Truth allegations against John Kerry fictitious, the editors stated:

[T]he canons of the profession prevent most journalists from saying outright: These charges are false. As a result, the voters are left with a general sense that there is some controversy over Dukakis' patriotism or Kerry's service in Vietnam...And they have been distracted from thinking about real issues (like the war going on now) by these laboratory concoctions.

The most disturbing line in this editorial is: "The canons of the profession prevent most journalists from saying outright: These charges are false." Why is this so? That they can't call a lie a lie? Who wrote these "canons," which seem to explicitly demand that journalists lie to their readers, in the name of "objectivity?"

Although I have seen many instances of this overt self-awareness by journalists, I am still left with the mouth-agape question: *why not*? Why can't truth be the central pillar of journalistic ethics, instead of a "canon" of false equivalency which allows Lee Atwater, Karl Christian Rove, Donald J. Trump, and others to use lies to great effect?

Matt Taibbi (a journalist reporting on politics, media and finance for *Rolling Stone* and other outlets) **noted** in *On the Media*:

Though we're tempted to blame the politicians, it's time to dig

- "Divisiveness" Stifle Debate Dear Councilman Grosso:
- Please Be Our Ally and Support 77 Ramming Morality Into Our
- **Ruskin Way** How Christianity Got Co-Opted and We Got Trump

Economic System the John

3

- **Ben Spielberg** • On Paid Sick Leave, as with Other Policy, Universal Beats **Targeted and Technocratic**
- Even with Recent Improvements, Obamacare's Exchanges Don't Cut It
- My Didibhai, Purnima (Lekha) Banerji (May 2, 1927 to June 28, 2021)
- Justice Representatives Have Power and We Need Them to Use It
- Anyone but Trump? Weighing Three Approaches for Social Justice Advocates in 2020

Darius Liddell

-

- Black Death as Spectacle and Ritual
- How much is a (black) life worth?
- Feb 17 Mar 1: Azienda Agricola Mauro Iob, Vetralla Feb. 8–17, Castagneto Carducci,
- Le Catre Jan 9-25, Monteleone Sabino at

on Zaid

Ozu farm

- Resident Perspective: Volunteering at a Testing Site
- Resident Perspective: My **Biggest Fear**
- Resident Perspective: Ready or not, time for telehealth Resident Perspective: waiting
- for test results • Resident Perspective: Who is "Essential"?

RUNĪ ≟ BĪA<u>C</u>K

- Run It Black Podcast Remembering John Lewis and **Black Politics**
- Making Sense of the Moment We're In
- We Miss the Old Kanye...
- The Nation of Islam Problem The World of Wakanda

Ben's Tweets



Ben Spielberg 1 Retweeted Jonah Fur... @J... · Sep 15

All I would ask is that people wait until rail workers have seen details of the deal

deeper. It's time to blame the press corps that daily brings us this unrelenting symphony of horseshit and never comes within a thousand miles of an apology for any of it. And it's time to blame the press not only as a class of people, but also as individuals.

This lack of accountability in the media presents one of the greatest threats to democracy and the American republic. Greater then climate change, greater than the terrorist menace, greater even than a frontal attack by a nuclear North Korea, the media's unwillingness to base their reporting in the truth, opting instead for a mushy and moving center point between whatever the members of the two major political parties are saying, reduces the public conversation on matters such as climate change, the terrorist menace, and a frontal attack by a nuclear North Korea to a debate over points of view (one often factually inaccurate), instead of an exploration of the unassailable truth of any issue.

The unwillingness to base reporting in truth allows lies to fester, metastasizing from the corners of the Internet into a presidential campaign (Donald J. Trump's) which fuses White supremacists, climate denial, fascist undertones, and an increasing series of lies into a viable candidacy.

Even worse is the level of awareness and even pride some journalists show concerning this "canon" of objectivity. As Washington Post journalist Melinda Henneberger <u>observed</u>, concerning her profession's (lack of) attachment to truth in reporting: "Newspapers hardly ever haul off and say a public figure lied, and I like that about us."

This same mainstream columnist <u>stated</u>, concerning some media outlets which tagged as "flatly inconsistent with the facts" a number of points vice presidential nominee Paul Ryan made in his Republican National Convention speech in 2012: "of course, each of these pieces is analysis or opinion rather than a straight news story." And this "opinion" (i.e. the truth) has less impact on the shared reality of the public square than a "straight news story" (i.e., one that does not separate fact from fiction).

Political campaigns agree: facts can be presented as "spin" by partisans, and therefore fall under the rubric of "opinion." Consider, for example, this excerpt from an <u>article</u> in the *Washington Post* in 2012:

Jon Cassidy, writing on the website Human Events, said one factchecking outfit declares conservatives inaccurate three times as often as it does liberals. "You might reasonably conclude that PolitiFact is biased," he wrote [as opposed to the fact that Republicans simply lie more often].

...Brooks Jackson, executive director of FactCheck.org, said he fears that the campaigns have come to see running afoul of fact checkers as something of a badge of honor.

Now, in Donald J. Trump's America, even the lying spinmeisters are welcomed into the journalistic tent. After Corey Lewandowski was fired as Trump's campaign manager on June 21, 2016, he immediately resurfaced as a CNN political commentator – even though he had signed a non-disclosure agreement with Donald J. Trump! As Rutenberg noted in his New York Times article:

Mr. Lewandowski has frequently wandered past the bounds of truth... [though, when he was hired by CNN,] Mr. Lewandowski told [fellow CNN journalist] Erin Burnett that he'd call "balls and strikes" in spite of his agreement with Mr. Trump. But when he weighed in on Mr. Trump's big economic speech last Tuesday, all he saw was a home run ("Mr. Trump's best speech of the presidential cycle," he gushed).

For the sake of full disclosure (and the truth), it must be noted that, although the Democrats are certainly not immune from this particular political sport (see: "Hillary Clinton" + "emails," for instance), the Republicans have perfected the Machiavellian art of conflating "truth" and "lie." Two longtime Washington insiders, Thomas Mann (Senior Fellow at the Brookings Institution) and Norman Ornstein (Resident Scholar at the American Enterprise Institute), wrote a book that got at this idea and summarized it four years ago in an article entitled: "Let's Just Say It: The Republicans are the Problem:"

The GOP has become an insurgent outlier in American politics. It is ideologically extreme; scornful of compromise; unmoved by conventional understanding of facts, evidence and science; and dismissive of the legitimacy of its political opposition . . . "Both sides do it" or "There is plenty of blame to go around" are the traditional refuges for an American news media intent on proving its lack of bias ... But a balanced treatment of an unbalanced phenomena distorts reality. If the political dynamics of Washington are unlikely to change anytime soon, at least we could change the way that reality is portrayed to the public.

Our advice to the press: don't seek professional safety through the even-handed, unfiltered presentation of opposing views. Which politician is telling the truth?

Finally! One true statement about the political situation in the United States.

However, those in the media did not appreciate the sentiment. After publishing their book and then article in the Washington Post, these two writers were essentially ostracized for their bipartisan, honest point of view. As the alternative news outlet Media Matters noted a couple of weeks after the publication of the piece, "their [Mann's and Ornstein's] recent conclusion that Republicans are responsible for political dysfunction has been largely ignored, with the top five national newspapers writing a total of zero news articles on their thesis." Media *Matters* also pointed out that, after years of being go-to voices on the various Sunday political programs, Mann and Ornstein saw those invitations dry up after the publication of their book.

Given all of this, the rise of Trump should come as no surprise. He is simply better at using lies to shape reality than the other 16 candidates he bested. And he is cognizant that the press – compliant concubine that it is - will mostly parrot whatever garbage he spews from his mouth.

Donald J. Trump has risen from the fetid fertilizer of years of Republican obfuscation, lies, false accusations and other pernicious verbiage, all of which have been dutifully reported as one "opinion" (countered by an equally-weighted "opinion" known as the truth). And when respected journalists have attempted to point out the problem of false equivalence, they have been "ostracized" by their mainstream compatriots or even shut down by their editors.

Trump is not an outlier, surprise or anomaly. He is the natural

before praising the administration.

A PR cycle saying "it's over, workers won!" Is not helpful if rail workers can't live with the actual agreement, reject it, and want to strike.

View more on Twitter

(i) Learn more about privacy on Twitter

34justice Archives


- February 2022 (1) • July 2021 (1)
- June 2021 (1)
- January 2021 (1)
- July 2020 (1)
- June 2020 (1) • April 2020 (9)
- March 2020 (4)
- February 2020 (1)
- January 2020 (1) • July 2019 (1)
- May 2019 (2)
- February 2019 (1)
- November 2018 (1)
- October 2018 (2) September 2018 (2)
- August 2018 (1)
- July 2018 (1)
- May 2018 (1)
- March 2018 (2)
- February 2018 (1) January 2018 (1)
- December 2017 (2)
- November 2017 (2)
- October 2017 (2)
- September 2017 (1)
- July 2017 (1)
- May 2017 (2) February 2017 (1)
- January 2017 (3)
- December 2016 (1)
- November 2016 (2)
- October 2016 (2) September 2016 (1)
- August 2016 (5)
- July 2016 (2)
- June 2016 (2)
- May 2016 (1) • April 2016 (5)
- March 2016 (4)
- February 2016 (3)
- January 2016 (6) December 2015 (3)
- November 2015 (3)
- October 2015 (4)
- September 2015 (5)
- August 2015 (3) • May 2015 (1)
- April 2015 (1)
- March 2015 (2)
- February 2015 (2)
- January 2015 (3)
- December 2014 (1) November 2014 (2)
- October 2014 (2)
- September 2014 (3)
- August 2014 (6)
- July 2014 (2) June 2014 (3)
- May 2014 (2)
- April 2014 (2)
- March 2014 (2)
- February 2014 (2)
- January 2014 (6) December 2013 (3)
- November 2013 (2)
- October 2013 (3)
- September 2013 (7)

outgrowth of years of terrible reporting, coupled with Republican exploitation of this dynamic.

In a sense, Trump is doing us a favor. He is exposing the undercurrent of American democracy which has been hidden beneath the surface of "civility" and "objectivity" provided by the supine press. However, we must learn from his rise, and demand – once and for all – that truth, and not false equivalence, becomes central to our political discourse and public square.

If not, we might well learn just how far America can go toward becoming a fascist government ourselves, instead of fighting against them as we have in Germany, the Soviet Union, North Korea and other places around the world. While all of us certainly want to "make America great," the question becomes for whom, and at what cost? A question that the mainstream media should – but never seems to – put at the exact center of the conversation about Donald J. Trump, and our public square in general.





Related

Machiavelli in America and One Response to this Social Illness October 11, 2014 In "Philosophy"

A Response to Machiavelli: Three Legislative Proposals October 28, 2014 In "Philosophy"

Ta-Nehisi Coates, Cornel West, and the **Ongoing Debate on** Race and Class December 21, 2017 In "Race and Religion"

Filed under 2016 Election, Philosophy, US **2** Comments **Political System**

Tagged as Donald Trump, Krugman, lies, Machiavelli, objectivity, PolitiFact, Republicans, Tom Block, truth

2 responses to "Truth or Politics"

carlbradleyherman August 1, 2016 at 9:30 am



Strong, Tom; thank you. Did you know that "corporate media" are six corporations that control ~90% of what Americans receive for "news", and that in 1975 the Director of the CIA admitted to the US Senate that they had over 400 operatives to control the media?

Documentation: http://www.washingtonsblog.com/2015/05/seizingan-alternative-lying-corporate-media-required-propagandatrying-to-hide-naked-empire-6-of-7.html

So this might explain the ongoing lies of omission and commission: "We the People" are being herded, not informed. And your last point: the US has become a rogue state already. Excerpt of this to follow the link: http://www.washingtonsblog.com/2016/04/us-illegal-historyrogue-empire-requiring-arrests-present-introduction-definerogue-state-perfect-match-us-illegal-wars-aggression-crimeshumanity.html

Americans who vote for Hillary or Trump are voting with relative ignorance to continue the following, with 95%+ who would never agree if honestly briefed on the facts:

All the wars were started with "reasons" known to be false as they were told. We know this absolutely from now-disclosed government agency documents. Did you know?

The wars are Orwellian unlawful; not even close to legal. If there's one law responsible citizens must know, and to honor the awful sacrifices of all our families through not one but two world wars, it's war law. Did you know?

The reason all levels of total debt increase is a Robber Baron-era system of fundamental criminal fraud to create what we use for money as debt owed to private banks, the principals of which are the "too big to fails." The top 20 Americans now own more than the bottom 50%, the .1% own more than the bottom 90%, and the 1% are more wealthy than the 99%. Did you know?

The real solutions to correct the Robber Baron-era system are monetary reform (direct creation of debt-free money for productive infrastructure) and public banks (at-cost and in-house credit to reduce government borrowing costs by 50%). For just one example: if your state had their own bank with a 5% mortgage and credit card, all state taxes are abundantly paid for, with no other taxation ever needed. The benefits of just a few reforms are conservatively calculated to \$1,000,000 per average US household. Literally, you have nothing more valuable for your time than to learn this. Did you know?

Of course, almost all Americans don't know. Reply

Tom Block August 1, 2016 at 10:12 pm



Thanks, Carl — all good points. We must keep trying to get the untold narrative out — and thanks to this blog, there is at least one small space dedicated to it. Tom

Reply

Leave a Reply

Enter your comment here... 34justice · Three Guys' Analytical Glance into the Political and Blog at WordPress.com. Cultural Conundrum